

Equality Impact Assessment in accordance with Section 71
Race Relations (Amendment) Act 2000 and The Equality
Standard for Local Government

**SALFORD CITY COUNCIL SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING
DOCUMENT: LOWER BROUGHTON DESIGN CODE**
Initial Assessment

10 October 2005

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

PRO-FORMA FOR THE INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Directorate	Section	Person Responsible for the Assessment
Housing and Planning	Planning Regeneration	Amy Mounter

Name of Team, Service Activity or Policy to be Assessed	Date of Assessment
Supplementary Planning Document: Lower Broughton Design Code	16 th September 2005

1.	Briefly describe the purpose of the (i) service activities carried out by the team, (ii) the service activity or (iii) the policy	(iii) The SPD is a policy to help address the current housing problems, reverse the loss of population and improve the quality of life for residents by providing general design principles, specifically to Lower Broughton. The document is not intended to be comprehensive design guidance and is restricted in scope. It only provides guidance that is specific to Lower Broughton and therefore does not contain specific design issues to specific groups or individuals, which would apply across the city. As supplementary planning guidance, it cannot allocate specific sites for development or specify the location of particular uses.
2.	What outcomes do we want to show that the aims of (i), (ii) or (iii) above are being achieved?	The redevelopment of the area should achieve high quality design in all new developments and to ensure that the regeneration of the area results in a popular, successful and sustainable neighbourhood that function effectively.
3.	Who is intended to benefit from (i) the service provided by the team, (ii) the service activity or (iii) the policy?	-Existing residents of Lower Broughton. -Future occupiers- acceptable attractive, safe, urban environment.
4.	What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?	Depending on market forces-Government funding-consultation.

5.	Are there any of the groups listed in question 7 below which might be expected to benefit from the activity or policy but which do not, or that the policy could adversely affect?	No		
6.	Have consultations with relevant groups, organisations or individuals in the past indicated that this particular policy or activity creates problems, which are specific to those groups, organisations or individuals?			
7.	Do you consider the activity or policy to be relevant to any of the areas listed below?	YES	DON'T KNOW	NO
	Race			There are no significant racial minority in the Lower Broughton area. In any case, promotion of good design principles should not differentially impact on race.
	Gender			Promotion of good design principles should not impact on design for different genders

Disability		<p>The city council require all new development to achieve high standards of access for disabled people, in all parts of the city. The purpose of this SPD is to provide design advice that is specific to Lower Broughton, not to duplicate guidance that applies across the city.</p> <p>We recognise disabled people in Lower Broughton maybe disproportionately affected in the event of a flood incident. They may potentially be disadvantaged, however this is due to the location of housing, which is outside the scope of this policy. The design guidance is neutral and does not differentially impact on the disabled.</p>
Age		<p>The city council require all new development to provide suitable accommodation for people of all ages, in all parts of the city. The purpose of this SPD is to provide design advice that is specific to Lower Broughton, not to duplicate guidance that applies across the city.</p> <p>We recognise elderly people maybe disproportionately affected in the event of a flood incident. They may potentially be disadvantaged, however this is due to the location of housing, which is outside the scope of this policy. The design guidance is neutral and does not differentially impact on the elderly.</p>
Religion/Belief		No

Sexual Orientation Dependant/Caring		No
Offending Past Transgendered/Transsexual		<p>No The city council require all new development to provide good standards of accommodation, in all parts of the city. The purpose of this SPD is to provide design advice that is specific to Lower Broughton, not to duplicate guidance that applies across the city.</p> <p>We recognise people with dependants maybe disproportionately affected in the event of a flood incident. They may potentially be disadvantaged, however this is due to the location of housing, which is outside the scope of this policy. The design guidance is neutral and does not differentially impact on people with dependants.</p>
		No
		No
<p>The answers to questions 5-7 above will enable you to assess whether or not the activity or policy has relevance or not to race, gender, disability, sexual orientation, religion or belief, age, people with dependant/caring responsibilities, people with offending past or people who are transgendered or transsexual. If the answer to any of the questions is “yes” or “don’t know”, pass on to questions 8-11 and the authorisation at No. 12. If you have answered “no” in all areas, proceed straight to the authorisation at No. 12.</p>		

8.	Give details of any positive or adverse effects of the service activity(ies) or policy on the groups listed in (a) to(i) on the following pages and details of any unmet need in relation to the groups. For adverse impact or unmet need, indicate whether this is low, medium or high.			
	(a) Racial Groups			
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	
	(b) Different Genders				
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:	

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	
	(c) Disability				
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:	

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	
	(d) Sexual Orientation				
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:	

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	
(e) Age					
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:	

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	
	(f) Religious Belief?				
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:	

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	
	(g) People with Dependants/Caring Responsibilities				
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:	

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	
	(h) People with an Offending Past				
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:	

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	
	(i) Transgendered or Transsexual				
	Positive impact?	Y	N	Details:	

	Adverse impact?	Y	N	Details:	L, M or H?
	Unmet need?	Y	N	Details:	

9.	Can any of the adverse impacts identified in 8(a) to (i) above be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group or for any other reason.	Y	N	Details:
----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------	----------	-----------------

Impact Assessment Decision

Full impact assessment procedures are confined to those policies/activities considered likely to have significant implications for equality of opportunity and good relations between people of different groups.

10.	<p>Do you consider, taking account of your analysis in the previous section, that this policy/activity needs to be submitted to a full impact assessment?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">YES <u>NO</u></p> <p>Reason for decision: We have not identified any impact on this assessment, therefore a full impact assessment for the Lower Broughton Design Code document would not be required.</p>
-----	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

If the answer is 'No' complete authorisation section at the No. 12 below. If the answer is 'Yes' proceed to No. 11, then No. 12.

11. To prioritise the programme of Full Impact Assessments, indicate the extent to which the following criteria apply by ticking the appropriate boxes
1. The difference created between people from the different groups and the population at large.
 2. The effect of the policy/activity on the daily lives of people from the different groups.
 3. The opportunity to promote equality of opportunity and good community relations.

	Criteria 1	Criteria 2	Criteria 3
High Impact			
Medium Impact			
Low impact			

12. Authorisation

Signed *B Whitmarsh* (Barry Whitmarsh) (Completing Officer)

Signed *Dave Percival* (Dave Percival) (Lead Officer)