

## SCHEDULE A - POLICIES TO BE SAVED

The UDP policies have been assessed in detail against criteria 1, 3, 5 and 6 of PPS12 paragraph 9.2 (i), and also the five bullet points the government will “have particular regard to” at paragraph 9.2 (ii) of PPS12 (see ‘additional observations’ column in the schedule below).

An overall assessment (as opposed to an individual assessment for each policy) was undertaken against criterion 2 given that the UDP is in full conformity with the city’s Community Plan 2006-16, as demonstrated by Table 2.1 of the UDP which shows the links between the aims of the UDP, the council’s 7 pledges and the Community Plan. With regards to criterion 4, the council has not adopted its Core Strategy and so there is no requirement to comply with that criterion.

The schedule below sets out those policies the city council considers should be saved beyond 21<sup>st</sup> June 2009:

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
<b>Chapter 4 - Strategic Policies</b>					
ST1 – Sustainable Urban Neighbourhoods	Overarching policy that supports the delivery of the UDP Vision, and all of its aims.	Is in full conformity with the RSS vision, and specifically Policy DP2 which identifies that building sustainable communities is a regional priority.	Ensures that development in areas of significant change and conservation is sustainable.	Policy helps to deliver the Government’s objective of securing sustainable development. In particular it is in conformity with paragraph 3 of PPS1 which recognises that sustainable development is the core principle underpinning planning.	Links to the Sustainable Design and Construction and Design SPDs so therefore the UDP policy needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPDs continues to form part of the LDF.
ST3 – Employment supply	Identifies the key mechanisms for delivering Aim 2 of the	It is clear in RSS that the level of employment supply identified (in policy	Provides the context for economic development in major areas of change such	Consistent with PPG4 / draft PPS4, but adds to them by being specific to	Policy supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	<p>UDP (maximise employment opportunities for local people).</p>	<p>W3) takes account of existing allocations and an allowance above this. RSS has to be read in conjunction with the UDP Policy on Employment supply.</p> <p>The policy is in conformity with Policy W1 of RSS which states that plans should promote opportunities for economic development, particularly in the city regions of Manchester, Liverpool and Central Lancashire.</p>	<p>as the regional centre / Central Salford.</p>	<p>the local context.</p> <p>The loss of the policy could result in a lack of clarity regarding the main mechanisms to be used within Salford to deliver a good range of local employment opportunities.</p>	<p>PPS12).</p> <p>Links to the Planning Obligations SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.</p>
ST4 – Key tourism areas	<p>Supports the delivery of aim 2 of the UDP (maximise employment opportunities for local people), and the part of the UDP Vision which is for the city to be welcoming with a prosperous culture.</p> <p>Tourism is a sector of the economy that will help to deliver the overall strategy of the Plan.</p>	<p>The locations identified in the policy as key tourism areas are consistent with policy RDF1 of RSS (especially Salford Quays and Chapel Street, which are in the Regional Centre).</p>	<p>Within the regional centre there is expected to be major change, and this policy seeks to ensure that the tourism function of the areas will be protected and enhanced.</p>	<p>It is locally specific and does not repeat regional or national guidance. The Policy helps to guide tourism development to the most appropriate locations.</p>	<p>Policy supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
ST5 – Transport Networks	Supports the delivery of aims 3 and 5 of the UDP (to minimise the need to travel and develop a sustainable and integrated transport network, and to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities)	Policy conforms to the general transport approach in RSS Chapter 8. Specifically criterion f of the UDP policy will help to implement the RSS approach to rail and water based freight movement.	Policy can help to deliver development within, and improve connectivity between, areas of major change, and limit negative impacts in areas of conservation.	Supports PPG13 and highlights what the key transport priorities are for Salford.	Policy aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery (bullet 1) and supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Planning Obligations and Sustainable Design and Construction SPDs so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPDs continue to form part of the LDF.
ST7 – Mixed-use development	Supports the delivery of aims 3 and 5 of the UDP (to minimise the need to travel and develop a sustainable and integrated transport network, and to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities).	Conforms to and supports the implementation Policy MCR2 of RSS in relation to the role of the regional centre. Mixed use development supports the development of the regional centre.	The areas referred to in the Policy are themselves areas of major change, and the policy provides part of the context for their development.	It is locally specific and does not repeat regional or national guidance. It identifies specific parts of Salford where mixed-use development is considered most appropriate.	Policy aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery (bullet 1) and supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
ST9 – Retail, leisure, social and community provision	Supports the delivery of aim 5 of the UDP (to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local	RSS policy W5 only identifies the Manchester / Salford city centre as one of north west's primary retail centre – it does not	The Policy maintains and supports existing areas, and ensures all areas are served by adequate and appropriate provision.	The policy is necessary as it sets out the retail hierarchy for the city, as required by Paragraphs 2.15 and 2.16 of PPS6.	Policy supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	facilities)	mention other centres below this. The UDP Policy is therefore needed as it establishes the retail hierarchy below the regional centre.			
ST10 – Recreation Provision	Supports the delivery of aims 4-7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities; protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	General conformity to RSS approach to recreation issues, particularly in terms of RSS policies EM3 and EM4.	Supports areas of change and ensures that the recreation provision is adequate where there is change, as well as assessing existing recreation assets.	Supports PPG17 but has a local perspective in terms of identifying key recreation priorities for Salford.	Policy aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Greenspace Strategy SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
ST12 – Development density	Supports the delivery of aims 3 and 7 of the UDP (to minimise the need to travel and develop a sustainable and integrated transport network and to secure sustainable resource management)	Is in general conformity with the concepts in RSS of making efficient use of land and minimising the need to travel (in particular Policy DP1).	Policy ensures that where there is change it is appropriate and takes account of opportunities to minimise travel needs.	Takes forward the notion of securing high density development, which is not specifically set out in national or regional guidance, other than in relation to housing in PPS3.	Policy aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery, supports economic development, and reduces the impact on climate change (bullet points 1, 3 and 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
ST13 – Natural Environmental Assets	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP (to protect and enhance natural and	In conformity with RSS policy EM1(B) which states that plans should seek to secure a ‘step-	Ensures that where there is significant change natural environmental assets are conserved, where	There is a degree of overlap with other policy. However it is considered vital that this policy is	Linked to the Nature Conservation and Biodiversity and Sustainable Design and

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	historic environmental assets, and to secure sustainable resource management.	change' increase in the region's biodiversity resources by contributing to the delivery of national, regional and local biodiversity objectives and targets for maintaining extent, achieving condition, restoring and expanding habitats and species populations.	appropriate.	saved, as without it there would be a large policy gap with regards to the natural environment. This is especially true given that the city council are proposing to delete EN6 (Nature Conservation Sites of International Importance), and EN10 (Protection of Species) as they repeat and / or are contrary to national guidance.	Construction SPDs, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPDs continue to form part of the LDF.
ST14 – Global Environment	Supports the delivery of aim 7 of the UDP (to secure sustainable resource management).	Reflects the general RSS approach to climate change (and Policy DP9 in particular).	Policy provides an overarching framework for ensuring areas of major change in the urban area and also those outside of the urban area (which are subject to development pressure such as Chat Moss) support objectives of minimising greenhouse emissions.	To some extent it does repeat national guidance in PPS25; however the UDP Policy is linked to an adopted SPD (Sustainable Design and Construction) and therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.	Policy promotes a reduced impact on climate change (bullet point 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Planning Obligations and Sustainable Design and Construction SPDs, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPDs continue to form part of the LDF.
ST15 – Historic Environment	Supports the delivery of aims 4 and 6 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality, and protect	In conformity with RSS policy EM1 (C) which states that "Plans, strategies, proposals and schemes should protect,	Policy helps to conserve historic and cultural assets that contribute to the character of the city.	Helps to deliver the objective of PPG15, paragraph 1.1 which states that "It is fundamental to the	

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	and enhance historical environmental assets	<p>conserve and enhance the historic environment”.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the built and natural environment, and conserving the region's heritage.</p>		<p>Government's policies for environmental stewardship that there should be effective protection for all aspects of the historic environment”.</p> <p>Furthermore is in full conformity with paragraph 2.6 of PPG15 which states that the first part of UDPs should provide a statement of the overall strategy, including conservation of the historic environment. The UDP Policy provides the overarching framework for undesignated cultural assets and historic assets both unlisted and locally listed.</p>	
Policy ST16 – Sustainable Waste Management	Supports the delivery of aims 4, 6 and 7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; to protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	Reflects the approach to waste management in RSS policies EM11 and EM12.	Ensures waste associated with areas of major change is managed in a sustainable manner.	To some extent repeats national guidance in PPS10, but it is considered necessary to save the policy given that it provides a framework for waste management in the city and that the hierarchy referred to in the reasoned justification	Policy is concerned with waste management (bullet point 4 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
				to Policy ST16 is cross referenced by Policy W1.	
ST17 – Mineral Resources	Supports the delivery of aims 4, 6 and 7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; to protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	Provides a framework for interpreting regional policy at the local level. Is in accordance with RSS Policy EM7 which states that Plans and Strategies should include criteria based policies to indicate the circumstances under which extraction might or might not be permitted.	Important element of protecting areas of conservation.	The policy highlights local priorities for implementing RSS and MPS1.	Retention of the policy will help to minimise the environmental impact on climate change that could arise from the extraction of more peat on Chat Moss.
<b>Chapter 5 - Mixed-use development</b>					
MX1 – Development in mixed-use areas	Supports the delivery of aims 1, 2, 3 and 5 of the UDP (to meet the city's housing needs; maximise employment opportunities; minimise the need to travel; and provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities)	Helps to deliver RSS policy MCR2 at the local level.	Policy will help to guide development within significant areas of change.	Locally specific policy that does not repeat national or regional policy. It sets out the appropriate mix of uses in a particular part of the city.	Policy aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery, supports economic development and reduces the impact on climate change (bullet points 1, 3 and 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Ordsall Lane Riverside Planning Guidance, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that it is linked to the Development Plan.
MX2 – Chapel	Supports the delivery	Helps to deliver RSS	Policy will help to guide	Locally specific policy	Policy supports economic

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
Street Frontage	<p>of UDP aim 5 (to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities).</p> <p>Important for ensuring the vitality and viability of Chapel Street is enhanced, reflecting its role as a key gateway into the Regional Centre.</p>	policy MCR2 at the local level.	development within a significant area of change.	that does not repeat national or regional policy. It sets out the appropriate mix of uses in a particular part of the city.	development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
MX3/1 – Clegg's Lane	Supports the delivery of UDP aims 2, 4 and 5 (maximise employment opportunities; to improve environmental quality; and to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities).	The allocation supports the delivery of Policy W1 of RSS which states that plans should promote opportunities for economic development, particularly in the city regions of Manchester, Liverpool and Central Lancashire.	Site falls within the Salford West sub-area of the Spatial Framework. Paragraph 3.7 of the UDP is clear that "The plan identifies a number of important development and recreation opportunities that will help to consolidate the successes of the area, whilst also supporting measures aimed at addressing and preventing further problems of decay". The allocation can help to address the issues of deprivation.	Local allocation that does not repeat national or regional policy.	<p>The site is being brought forward in partnership with the NWDA. Recent consent has now expired. Given this it is important for the allocation to remain in place to give certainty.</p> <p>Allocation supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p>
MX3/2 – Whit Lane	Supports the delivery of UDP aims 1, 4 and 5 (to meet the city's housing needs; to improve environmental	The allocation will help to meet the housing supply requirements of RSS (as set out in Policy L4), and deliver housing in a	The site is within an area of significant change and will be a key element of delivering the aims of the Charlestown and Kersal New	Local allocation that does not repeat national or regional policy.	The allocation aids housing delivery (bullet 1); and supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	quality; and to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities).	location which is within an area that falls within the 2 <sup>nd</sup> priority area for development as set out in Policy RDF1.	Deal for Communities programme.		(ii) of PPS12).
<b>Chapter 6 - Design</b>					
DES1 -Respecting Context DES2 - Circulation and movement DES3 - Design of Public Space DES4 -Relationship of development to public space DES5 – Tall buildings DES6 – Waterside Development DES7 – Amenity of users and neighbours DES8 – Alterations and extensions	All policies support the delivery of UDP aim 4 (to improve environmental quality) and will help to deliver a fundamental part of the UDP Vision which is for the city to be a “beautiful and welcoming city” (paragraph 2.2)	The UDP design policies support the RSS emphasis on securing high quality design. Specifically RSS policy DP7, which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced, especially by “understanding and respecting the character and distinctiveness of places and landscapes; and promoting good quality design in new development and ensuring that development respects its setting taking into account relevant design requirements”.  Policies DES1-10 and the associated local documents that are linked to these policies (i.e. in	The policies are essential for the environmental quality in areas of major change and conserving the character and amenity of areas of conservation.	These design policies expand on national and regional principles at the local level, and are extensively used by development control staff in assessing and determining planning applications.	The Policies aid housing delivery (bullet 1); and support economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  The policies are linked to a number of SPDs that the city council has produced and it is therefore necessary to save them in order that the SPDs are sufficiently linked to policies in the Development Plan. For instance the following SPDs are linked to the Design Policies as follows:  House Extensions SPD (Policies DES7, 8); Greenspace Strategy SPD (Policies DES2, 3, 7, and 10);

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
DES9 – Landscaping  DES10 – Design and crime		SPDs and local Planning Guidance) set out how the council will at a local level ensure the character of areas is respected and new development is of a good design quality.			Nature Conservation and biodiversity (Policy DES9); Design and Crime SPD (DES10); Ellesmere Park SPD (DES1, 2, 4, 8, 9 and 11); Planning Obligations SPD (DES3); Telecommunications SPD (DES5,6); Hot Food Takeaways (DES7); Sustainable Design and Constructions SPD (DES2, 3 and 9); Design SPD (DES1-10).  Additionally the policies are linked to Planning Guidance documents – Housing, Greengate, mediacity:uk and Quays Point, Claremont and Weaste Neighbourhood Plan, Ordsall Riverside, and Salford Central.
<b>Chapter 7 - Housing</b>					
H1 – Provision of new housing development	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 1 which is to meet the city’s housing needs.	The UDP Policy ensures that the right type of housing comes forward in the right places, having regard to the Housing	Guides development in areas of major change and ensures that the character of areas in terms of housing mix and density is sustained.	Implements the objectives in PPS3 (paragraphs 9-11) relating to terms of securing a mix of	The Policies aid housing delivery (bullet 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12), and ensure that the new housing provided is

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		<p>Provision figures in RSS Policy L4.</p> <p>Policy L4 of RSS requires that local authorities “ensure the construction of a mix of appropriate house types, sizes, tenures and prices, in line with policies L2, L3 and L5”. Policy H1 of the UDP (and the Housing Planning Guidance Policies that supplement it) sets out how the council will seek to assess whether the mix of dwellings in a scheme is appropriate.</p>		<p>dwellings in terms of type and tenure, density, amenity etc.</p>	<p>appropriate.</p> <p>This policy is supplemented and linked to policies in the Housing and Ordsall Riverside Planning Guidance documents, and so need to be saved to ensure that the Planning Guidance Policies are linked to policies in the Development Plan.</p> <p>In addition the Policy is linked to the Ellesmere Park Supplementary Planning Document and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF</p>
H3 – Housing Improvement	<p>Supports the delivery of UDP aim 1 which is to meet the city’s housing needs.</p> <p>The UDP Spatial Framework (paragraph 3.5) makes reference to housing market renewal being important in</p>	<p>Policy L3 of RSS states that plans should reduce vacancy rates to 3% in the existing dwelling stock, through the reuse of suitable vacant housing.</p> <p>UDP Policy H3 provides a framework against which the city council can assess planning</p>	<p>Housing improvement is most likely in Central Salford, an area of major change in the city.</p>	<p>This is a policy which sets out the local approach for delivering housing improvement in accordance with national and local policies.</p>	<p>The policy aids housing delivery (bullet 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>It should be recognised that improving the housing offer in the city is not just about new housing – existing stock can play an important role in meeting needs</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	transforming the conurbations inner city areas. Housing improvement will be an important part of this and it is necessary to have a policy specifically related to this.	applications which seek to improve existing housing.			and the policy facilitates this.
H4 – Affordable Housing	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 1 which is to meet the city’s housing needs.	Policy L5 of RSS states that plans and strategies should set out requirements for affordable housing. UDP Policy H4 provides the basis against which the city council can require affordable housing in new developments above set thresholds.	The policy applies to all areas of the city.	Implements the objectives in PPS3 (paragraphs 9-11). Specifically it helps to deliver the government’s key housing goal which is to “ensure that everyone has the opportunity of living in a decent home, which they can afford, in a community where they want to live”.	The policy aids affordable housing delivery (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  This policy is supplemented by and linked to policies in the Council’s Housing Planning Guidance and so should be saved to ensure it is linked to policies in the Development Plan.  In addition, this UDP policy links to the Planning Obligations SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
H5 – Provision of	Supports the delivery	The policy enables the	The policy guides change	The policy expands on	The policy aids housing

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
residential accommodation within existing buildings	of UDP aim 1 which is to meet the city's housing needs  Supports the delivery of aim 4 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality).	delivery of new dwellings within existing buildings thus allowing this source of supply to contribute to meeting the housing requirements of RSS Policy L4.	and also protects the character of areas.	national and regional guidance, setting out the criteria that will be used as at the local level for determining applications for certain types of development.	delivery (bullet 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
H6 – Residential, social and community uses	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 1 which is to meet the city's housing needs.	Policy L4 of RSS states that Local Authorities should work to ensure that new housing addresses the requirements of different groups to secure the construction of a mix of appropriate house types, tenures, sizes and prices.  UDP Policy H6 provides a basis on which to assess planning applications for residential, social and community uses (that span the boundary between residential and social/community use) and so therefore address a wide range of housing need.	The policy guides change and also protects the character of areas.	The policy expands on national and regional guidance and allows a wide range of housing needs to be met, as required by PPS3 and other Government guidance.	The policy aids housing delivery (bullet 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
H7 – Provision of student	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 1, which is	The RJ to Policy L1 of the RSS (paragraph 7.5)	The policy ensures that the character of areas is not	Policy H7 is a locally specific policy that	The policy aids housing delivery (bullet 1 of para.

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
accommodation	<p>to meet the city’s housing needs.</p> <p>Creating new housing for students in the regional centre will be particularly important “to the continued development of the University of Salford as an internationally important educational facility” (UDP Spatial Framework, para 3.9).</p>	<p>states that in addressing the implementation of Policy L1, Local Authorities may need to consider issues including the location of student accommodation. Policy L4 also states that LAs should work to meet the needs of different groups, including students.</p> <p>UDP Policy H7 provides a framework within which the city council will determine applications for student accommodation in order to ensure that their needs are met.</p>	<p>unacceptably harmed by the provision of student accommodation.</p>	<p>reflects but adds to national and regional guidance.</p>	<p>9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>Policy H7 of the UDP is expanded upon in Policy HOU7 of adopted Housing Planning Guidance, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the Planning Guidance is linked to the Development Plan.</p>
H8 – Open space provision associated with new housing development	<p>Supports the delivery of aim 4 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality), and aim 5 (which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities).</p>	<p>Policy L1 of RSS requires that plans ensure that there is provision for all members of the community for sport, recreation and cultural facilities. Through Policy H8 the council seeks to ensure that new residential developments provide adequate recreation provision.</p>	<p>A significantly large proportion of housing will be in central Salford, which by its very nature is an area of significant change. Policy H8 looks to make sure that there is adequate recreation provision in this areas of change.</p>	<p>Policy H8 is a locally specific policy that reflects national and regional guidance, particularly in PPG17, PPS3 and RSS Policy L1.</p>	<p>The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>The UDP Policy is linked to Policy OB1 of the Planning Obligations SPD and to Policies in the Greenspace Strategy, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
					that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
H9/1 – Flax Street H9/3 – Windsor High H9/5 – Former Hanover Court H9/6 – Newbury Place	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 1, which is to meet the city’s housing needs.	<p>The allocations will give certainty to the development of the sites for housing, and will contribute to meeting the housing requirements for Salford identified in Policy L4 of RSS.</p> <p>These sites fall within the second priority of areas as identified in Policy RDF1 (Spatial Priorities) of RSS (i.e. the inner areas surrounding the regional centre). They are in areas of regeneration and within the Housing Market Renewal Area.</p> <p>The development of the sites would be in accordance with Policy MCR2 of RSS which states that in the Manchester City region “residential development should be focussed in the inner areas adjacent to the Regional Centre in order to secure a</p>	<p>H9/1, H9/5 and H9/6 -The sites lie within Broughton which is an area undergoing significant change. The development of these sites will complement other changes currently underway.</p> <p>H9/3 - Is within an area that will be undergoing significant change associated with a housing PFI project to improve the area. The site is considered to be a key element of the PFI proposals.</p>	Are locally specific allocations which do not repeat national or regional guidance.	<p>The allocations aid housing delivery (bullet 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>All of the sites are still considered to be suitable for housing, as set out in the SHLAA.</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		significant increase in their population, to support major regeneration activity including the Manchester-Salford Housing Market Renewal Pathfinder”.			
H9/8 – Worsley Road North / Thorpe Street, Walkden North	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 1, which is to meet the city’s housing needs.	The allocation will give certainty to the development of the site for housing, and will contribute to meeting the housing requirements for Salford identified in Policy L4 of RSS.	The RSS envisages high levels of housing growth and change in the Manchester City Region, and this allocation will help meet the aspirations of the RSS.	Locally specific allocation which does not repeat national or regional guidance.	<p>The allocation aids housing delivery (bullet 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>The site is considered to be suitable for housing, as set out in the SHLAA.</p> <p>Part of the allocation has been developed for housing and retaining the rest of the site as a housing allocation would be consistent to the approach taken on employment allocations (of retaining allocations where the site has not been developed out).</p>
<b>Chapter 8 -Employment</b>					
E1 – Strategic Regional Site,	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 2, which is	The allocation is in conformity with Policy W1	This is a major site that can help to deliver economic	A locally specific allocation which does not	Allocation supports economic development

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
Barton	<p>to maximise employment opportunities for local people, and aim 5 which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.</p> <p>Paragraph 3.11 of the UDP Spatial Framework states that the UDP encourages further economic investment within the Western Gateway during the plan period, particularly through the development of the Barton Strategic Regional Site".</p> <p>The site is a key element of the overall UDP Strategy.</p>	<p>of RSS which states that plans should promote opportunities for economic development, particularly in the city regions of Manchester, Liverpool and Central Lancashire.</p> <p>Policy RT8 states that plans should facilitate the transfer of freight from road to rail and/or water by the identification of sites for inter-modal freight terminals, with South West Greater Manchester (with access to rail and the Manchester Ship Canal) being a broad location for such a use. UDP Policy E1 specifically makes provision for a multi modal freight interchange incorporating rail and water based freight handling facilities.</p>	<p>growth aspirations for the city and sub-region.</p>	<p>repeat national or regional guidance.</p>	<p>(bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>Development of the site is supported by the NWRA / NWDA.</p> <p>31.3ha of the site has extant permission for a Stadium. There is a current planning application for a multimodal freight interchange on a further 49.6ha, which remains to be determined.</p> <p>The site has been assessed as part of the city's Employment Land Review and is still considered to be a suitable employment site.</p>
<p>E2 – Innovation Park</p> <p>E3 – Knowledge Capital</p>	<p>The policies support the delivery of UDP aim 2, which is to maximise employment opportunities for local people.</p>	<p>The policies are in conformity with Policy W1 of RSS which states that plans should promote opportunities for economic development, particularly in the city regions of</p>	<p>Key aspect of delivering employment change within the edge of the regional centre, which is an area of significant change.</p>	<p>Locally specific policies that do not repeat national or regional guidance.</p>	<p>Policies support economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>Both sites have been assessed as part of the</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	<p>The policies are linked to the Spatial Framework for the city which states that in the Regional Centre “The economic growth sectors of knowledge-bases and media-related industries will be particularly targeted, linking to the continued development of the University of Salford as an internationally important educational facility”.</p>	<p>Manchester, Liverpool and Central Lancashire.</p> <p>Policy MCR2 states that Plans should ensure that the Regional Centre of the City Region continues to develop as the primary economic driver, providing the main focus for business development in the City Region. It further states that the expansion of the knowledge economy throughout the Regional Centre, and particularly related to the Universities and Central Manchester hospitals, will be a particular priority</p> <p>The Reasoned Justification at paragraph 10.7 further clarifies that in the regional centre knowledge based industries should be encouraged to grow. These 2 UDP policies facilitate this.</p>			<p>city’s Employment Land Review and are still considered to be suitable for employment use.</p> <p>The policies give greater certainty that the areas will be developed for employment and assist in meeting employment land targets.</p> <p>The policy is linked to the Telecommunications SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
<p>E4/2 – Land east of Lester Rd and west of Carrfield Ave, Little Hulton</p> <p>E4/16 – Land fronting Wharton Lane, Little Hulton</p>	Both allocations support the delivery of UDP aim 2, which is to maximise employment opportunities for local people.	<p>The allocations are in conformity with Policy W1 of RSS which states that plans should promote opportunities for economic development, particularly in the city regions of Manchester, Liverpool and Central Lancashire.</p> <p>Policy W3 states that a range of sites, in terms of market attractiveness and social, environmental and economic sustainability should be safeguarded for employment use.</p> <p>The figures in Table 6.1 of RSS effectively assume that these allocations will be delivered.</p>	<p>The RSS envisages high levels of economic growth and change in the Manchester City Region, and these allocations will help meet the aspirations of the RSS.</p> <p>The sites are in a deprived part of the city where changes are expected, particularly in terms of the need to reduce deprivation.</p>	Locally specific allocations that do not repeat national or regional guidance.	<p>The allocation supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>E4/2 – Total site area 1.1ha. None of the site has planning permission.</p> <p>E4/16 - Total site 0.5ha. None of the site has planning permission.</p> <p>All these sites were assessed as part of the city's Employment Land Review and are still considered to be a suitable employment sites.</p>
<p>E4/3 – Frederick Road / Winders Way, Irwell Riverside</p> <p>E4/4 – Lissadel Street, Irwell riverside</p> <p>E4/14 – Land south of Centenary Way,</p>	<p>All three allocations support the delivery of UDP aim 2, which is to maximise employment opportunities for local people.</p> <p>E4/3 &amp; E4/4 lie within the Innovation Park and Knowledge Capital (as defined by Policies</p>	<p>These allocations all lie within the Inner Area of the Manchester City Region.</p> <p>The allocations are in conformity with Policy W1 of RSS which states that plans should promote opportunities for economic development, particularly</p>	<p>The RSS envisages high levels of economic growth and change in the Manchester City Region, and these allocations will help meet the aspirations of the RSS.</p> <p>E4/3 &amp; E4/4 - The sites lie within an area of significant change, being within the</p>	Locally specific allocations that do not repeat national or regional guidance.	<p>The three allocations support economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>E4/3 – Total site area of 1.3ha. of which 0.8ha has been developed for employment; 0.5ha remains without</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
Weaste and Seedley	E2 and E3 respectively).	<p>in the city regions of Manchester, Liverpool and Central Lancashire.</p> <p>Policy W3 states that a range of sites, in terms of market attractiveness and social, environmental and economic sustainability, should be safeguarded for employment use.</p> <p>Policy MCR2 states that in the Inner Areas of the Manchester City Region plans should provide for employment. The RJ at paragraph 10.9 states that “Development within the Inner Areas will boost overall economic growth in the City Region, reduce local inequalities (such as worklessness) and deprivation and provide a clear alternative to further decentralisation and the unsustainable commuting patterns associated with it”.</p> <p>The figures in Table 6.1 of RSS effectively assume</p>	<p>boundary of the Kersal and Charlestown NDC. They also lie in the Innovation Park and Knowledge Capital zones, and these are areas of change.</p> <p>E4/14 is within the mediacity:uk area which is an area of change.</p>		<p>permission.</p> <p>E4/4 - Total site 0.7ha. None of the site has planning permission</p> <p>E4/14 - Total site area of 1.4ha. Has extant permission for employment although construction has not started.</p> <p>All three sites were assessed as part of the city’s Employment Land Review and are considered to be a suitable employment sites.</p> <p>The allocations give greater certainty that they will be developed for employment and assist in meeting employment land targets.</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		that these allocations will be delivered.			
<p>E4/7 – Irlam Wharf Road, Northbank</p> <p>E4/8 – Nasmyth Business Centre, Eccles</p> <p>E4/9 – Boysnope Wharf, Irlam</p> <p>E4/10 – Agecroft Commerce Park, Pendlebury</p> <p>E4/11 – Holloway Drive, Swinton North</p> <p>E4/13 – Land north of Eccles New Road and east of Stott Lane</p>	All six allocations support the delivery of UDP aim 2, which is to maximise employment opportunities for local people.	<p>The allocations are in conformity with Policy W1 of RSS which states that plans should promote opportunities for economic development, particularly in the city regions of Manchester, Liverpool and Central Lancashire</p> <p>Policy W3 states that a range of sites, in terms of market attractiveness and social, environmental and economic sustainability should be safeguarded for employment use.</p> <p>The figures in Table 6.1 of RSS effectively assume that these allocations will be delivered.</p>	The RSS envisages high levels of economic growth and change in the Manchester City Region and these allocations will help meet the aspirations of the RSS.	Locally specific allocations that do not repeat national or regional guidance.	<p>The allocations support economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>E4/7 - 3.84ha of the total 10.3ha site has extant planning permission for employment. 1.4ha has been developed for employment purposes , and 5ha does not have permission.</p> <p>E4/8 - Total site area of 1.8ha. 0.57ha has been developed for employment purposes and 1.23ha remains without planning permission.</p> <p>E4/9 - Total site area of 14.8ha. 2ha of the site has extant permission whilst 12.8ha does not have permission.</p> <p>E4/10 - Total site area of 15.2ha. The entire site has extant permission for</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
					<p>employment with 1.5ha under construction, 2.9ha completed, and 10.8ha not started. Development of the site is supported by the NWDA.</p> <p>E4/11 - Total site area of 1.1ha. None of the site has planning permission.</p> <p>E4/13 - Total site area of 0.8ha. None of the site has planning permission.</p> <p>The allocation of the sites gives greater certainty that the site will be developed for employment and assist in meeting employment land targets.</p>
E5 – Development within established employment areas	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 2, which is to maximise employment opportunities for local people.	Important aspect of delivering a varied and robust economy to help meet the requirements set out in RSS Policy W3.	The policy ensures that any change is appropriate, and that important employment opportunities are retained.	Locally specific policy that does not repeat national or regional guidance.	<p>Policies support economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>The council has produced a Development Control Practice Note which clarifies how the city council will apply UDP Policy E5.</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
					Links to the Ordsall Lane Riverside Planning Guidance, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that it is linked to the Development Plan.
<b>Chapter 9 - Retail and leisure development</b>					
S1 – Retail and leisure development within town and neighbourhood centres	Supports the delivery of aim 5 of the UDP, which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.	Is in conformity with RSS policy W5.	Likely to be significant change in the town centres especially in forthcoming years.	Some overlap with UDP Policy ST9, PPS6 and RSS, but it is necessary to keep the policy as it refers to the proposals map which defines the city's town centres and neighbourhood centres.	The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing, and supports economic development / retailing and town centres (bullet points 1 and 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
S3 – Loss of shops	Supports the delivery of aim 5 of the UDP, which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.	Is in conformity with the aspirations of RSS to build sustainable communities, particularly by “fostering sustainable relationships between homes, workplaces and other concentrations of regularly used services and facilities” (Policy DP2).	The policy ensures that all areas are adequately served by shops, both in the areas of change and of conservation.	The policy expands on guidance in PPS6 and contains local criteria on which to determine planning applications.	Policy supports economic development and retailing in town centres (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  The policy is linked to the Hot Food Takeaways SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
S4 – Amusement centres, restaurants and cafes, drinking establishments and hot food takeaways	Supports the delivery of aims 4 and 5 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality and aim 5 (which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities)).	Is in conformity with the aspirations of RSS to build sustainable communities, particularly by “fostering sustainable relationships between homes, workplaces and other concentrations of regularly used services and facilities” (Policy DP2). The policy ensures that new facilities can be provided where necessary.	The policy ensures that all areas are adequately served by particular facilities, both in the areas of change and of conservation.	Sets local criteria for determining planning applications for amusement centres, restaurants and cafes, drinking establishments and hot food.	Policy supports economic development and retailing / town centres (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  The policy is linked to the Hot Food Takeaways SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
S5 – Site for new retail development	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 5, which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.  The allocation is within Pendleton town centre and so additional retail provision is an appropriate use.	The allocation will support the town centre and provide retail facilities in an area that falls within the 2 <sup>nd</sup> priority for development in the region, as set out in Policy RDF1.	Pendleton is likely to be a key area of change associated with a Housing PFI project. The development of the supermarket will support the PFI project, and wider investment in enhancing the town centre.	Locally specific allocation that does not repeat national or regional guidance.	The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing, and support economic development / retailing in town centres (bullet points 1 and 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
<b>Chapter 10 - Education, health and community facilities</b>					
EHC1 – Provision and improvement of schools and colleges	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 5, which is to provide a comprehensive range	The Policy is in line with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities	The policy applies to all areas of the city.	The policy is a locally specific policy which sets out criteria for how applications for new	The policies aid housing delivery (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12), by ensuring appropriate

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	of accessible local facilities. Paragraph 2.17 of the UDP states that “The provision of good schools is considered particularly important, being central to attracting families to the city, and the UDP supports this by enabling ... the provision of new schools”.	is a regional priority, and that this can be achieved particularly by improving the educational attainment of the regions population.  Policy L1 states that plans should make provision for the full spectrum of education.		schools will be determined.	local education facilities are available.  The city council is seeking to secure the improvement of existing education facilities through initiatives such as Building Schools for the Future. The policy sets out how applications will be determined (and provides a context for any potential CPO action that may be needed).
EHC3 – Provision and improvement of health and community facilities  EHC4 – Reuse of existing health and community facilities	Both policies support the delivery of UDP aim 5, which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.	Both policies are in conformity with the aspirations of RSS to build sustainable communities, particularly by; fostering sustainable relationships between homes, workplaces and other concentrations of regularly used services and facilities; improving health attainment; and integrating and phasing the provision of public services to meet current and future needs of the whole community ensuring that those	The policies apply to all areas of the city.	The policies provide guidance for uses not covered in PPS6. They are therefore local policies that do not repeat regional or national guidance.	The policies aid housing delivery (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12), by ensuring appropriate local facilities are available.  Links to the Planning Obligations SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		services are accessible (Policy DP2).			
EHC5 – University of Salford	<p>Policy supports the delivery of aim 5 of the UDP, which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.</p> <p>Paragraph 3.9 of the Spatial Framework states that the University of Salford will continue to be developed as an internationally important educational facility, linked to knowledge based and media-related industries.</p>	<p>The Policy is in line with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a regional priority, and that this can be achieved particularly by improving the educational attainment of the regions population.</p> <p>Policy L1 states that plans should make provision for the full spectrum of education, including further and higher education facilities.</p>	The University is within Central Salford which is an area of significant change, and is itself an establishment likely to see major change during the plan period. The policy seeks to guide this.	The policy is a locally specific policy which sets out criteria for how applications at the University will be determined.	The policy is linked to the Telecommunications SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
EHC6 – Hope hospital	Supports the delivery of aims 4 and 5 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality and to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities).	Policy L1 of RSS states that plans should make provision for health facilities including hospitals. The RJ at paragraph 7.5 further states that “The quality of life of the region’s population will be improved through good access to health facilities	There are significant changes ongoing and planned at Hope Hospital and this policy guides that change.	Hope Hospital is a key regional facility and the policy ensures its successful operation and improvement into the future. The policy is locally specific.	The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		– one aspect of tackling inequalities”.			
EHC7 – Sites for travelling people	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 1, which is to meet the city’s housing needs.	No specific policy in RSS and is being considered as part of the partial review of the Strategy.  Policy L4 of RSSS states that local authorities should seek to address the housing requirements of different groups. UDP Policy EHC7 provides criteria against which to assess applications from travellers.	The policy applies to all areas of the city.	The policy sets out the criteria the city council will use should it receive a planning application for a site for travelling people (including travelling showpeople, gypsies and travellers), as required by paragraph 31 of Circular 1/2006 and paragraph 25 of DCLG Circular 4/2007.	The policy aids housing delivery (bullet 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
EHC9 – Site for the provision of a new school	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 5, which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.	Is in conformity with the aspirations of RSS to build sustainable communities, particularly by fostering sustainable relationships between homes, workplaces and other concentrations of regularly used services and facilities (Policy DP2).  Policy L1 states that plans should make provision for the full spectrum of education facilities.	Site is within Central Salford which is an area of significant change.  Key element of the regeneration proposals for the Langworthy area.	This is a locally specific policy which allocates land for education.	The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  The proposed school has planning permission, although the retention of the allocation for education is considered to be necessary, as it gives added certainty that the site will come forward for education use should the permission

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
					lapse (and given that construction has not yet started).
EHC10 – Site for the provision of cemetery facilities	Supports the delivery of aim 5, which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.	The allocation is in conformity with Policy DP2 of RSS which states that plans should create sustainable communities by integrating and phasing the provision of public services and facilities to meet the current and future needs of the community.	The policy applies to all areas of the city.	The allocation is locally specific policy and does not repeat national or regional guidance, and will help to ensure sufficient cemetery capacity within the city.	The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
<b>Chapter 11 - Accessibility</b>					
A2 – Cyclists, Pedestrians and the Disabled	Supports the delivery of aim 3 which is to minimise the need to travel.	The policy expands on RSS Policy RT9 (Walking and Cycling).	The policy ensures that all new developments make adequate provision for cyclists, pedestrians and the disabled, which will be particularly important in areas of change.	Is a locally specific policy; for instance it sets out criteria for when development that would affect a right of way will be considered acceptable.	<p>The policy supports housing and economic development by improving public transport, and reducing the impact of climate change (bullet points 1, 3 and 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12). .</p> <p>The policy is linked to the Hot Food Takeaways and Sustainable Design and Construction SPDs, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
					ensure that the SPDs continue to form part of the LDF.
A3 – Metrolink A4 – Railways A5 – Buses	The policies support the delivery of aims 2, 3 and 5 (which are to maximise employment opportunities for local people, minimise the need to travel, and provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities).	The policies are in conformity with RSS Policy RT1 (Integrated Transport Networks) and Policy RT3 (Public Transport Framework).	The policies ensure that new developments (including those in areas of major change) will be accessible by public transport.	Are locally specific policies that do not repeat national or regional policy.	The policies support economic development and regeneration, including in town centres (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
A6 – Taxis	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 3 (to minimise the need to travel and develop a sustainable and integrated transport network).	The policy complements the overall approach of RSS which is to maximise public transport usage and integrate different modes of transport.	The Policy ensures that where new developments are proposed they will make appropriate provision for taxis in order to maximise accessibility and social inclusion.	There is nothing in national or regional guidance specific to the provision of taxi ranks.	The policy supports economic development and regeneration, including in town centres (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
A8 – Impact of Development on the Highway Network	The policy supports the delivery of UDP aim 2 which is to maximise employment opportunities for local people.	Is consistent with and expands on RSS Policy RT4 (Management of the Highway Network), and Policy DP4 (Make the best use of existing resources and infrastructure).	New development can have an impact on the highway network and this policy seeks to control this and ensure that the impact of new development on the highway network is acceptable.	Is a locally specific policy, the RJ to which sets out what the Strategic Route Network is comprised of in the city.	The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing, and economic development and regeneration (bullet points 1 and 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Planning Obligations and Hot Food Takeaways SPDs, so

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
					therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPDs continue to form part of the LDF.
A9 – Provision of New Highways	The policy supports the delivery of UDP aims 2 and 3, which are to maximise employment opportunities for local people, and develop an integrated transport network.	The proposed new highways are in conformity with Policy W1 of RSS which states that plans should promote opportunities for economic development, particularly in the city regions of Manchester, Liverpool and Central Lancashire.  In addition the proposals can help deliver RSS Policy DP3 which states that a fundamental principle of the RSS Strategy is to seek to close the economic gap between the North West and other parts of the UK.	The policy supports and helps to deliver major change.	Is a locally specific policy setting out potential new highways that are considered necessary in order to deliver the objectives and vision of the UDP.	The policy supports economic development and regeneration (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
A10 – Provision of Car, Cycle and Motorcycle Parking in New Developments	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 3 in terms of managing travel demand, and relates to the overall strategy of the UDP which is to ensure that new developments are accessible by a range	Policy RT2 of RSS states that Plans and Strategies should incorporate maximum parking standards that are in line with or more restrictive than RSS Table 8.1.  The UDP contains	The policy ensures that in new developments there is a sustainable approach to transport issues that promotes social inclusion and does not encourage car use.	See comments in relation to RSS conformity.	The policy supports economic development and regeneration, and ensures that the impact on climate change is reduced (bullets point 3 and 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	of modes and to all.	maximum car parking standards at Appendix C and these are in line with Table 8.1 of RSS, apart from for B8 uses where RSS takes a more restrictive approach than that of the UDP. However, it is considered necessary for Appendix C to be retained given that the UDP policy actually refers to it, and that the policy also refers to Appendix B of the UDP which sets out minimum standards for disabled drivers, cyclists and motorcyclists. These particular standards are not set out in RSS and are to be examined as part of the partial review process. Given this it is considered necessary for the UDP Policy and Appendices B And C to be retained.			Links to the Planning Obligations, Hot Food Takeaways and Sustainable Design and Construction SPDs, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPDs continue to form part of the LDF.
A11 – Provision of long stay commuter car parks	Supports the delivery of UDP aims 1 and 2 (to meet the city's housing needs and to maximise employment opportunities for local people).	RSS Policy MCR2 states that Plans should ensure that the Regional Centre of the City Region continues to develop as the primary economic driver, providing the main	Is an effective policy for delivering change, by ensuring that sites do not remain as car parks for excessively long periods.	Is a locally specific policy that ensures sites currently in use as car parking come forward for development.	The policy supports the delivery of housing, economic development and regeneration, and ensures that the impact on climate change is reduced (bullet points 3

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	Paragraph 3.9 of the Spatial Framework states that within the Regional Centre there is a “strong emphasis on securing a broad mix of uses and a very high quality of design in order to maximise the area’ vitality and attractiveness”. This policy seeks to ensure that vitality and attractiveness of the regional centre is not undermined by car parking.	focus for business development in the City Region. UDP Policy A11 will help to deliver this vision.			and 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
A14 – Barton Aerodrome	Supports the delivery of UDP aim 5, which is to provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities.  The aerodrome will help to ensure that “the city is a place where people choose to live, work and visit, and one that its residents are proud of.” (Vision, paragraph 2.3).	RSS Policy RT5 states that “In formulating plans and strategies, account should be taken of the contribution general aviation makes to the regional and local economies, and the role smaller airfields have in providing for both business and leisure”. UDP Policy A14 seeks to ensure that Barton will be retained and protected for general aviation purposes,	Policy ensures that change at the airport is managed in a way that ensures its heritage is conserved.	Locally specific policy that takes account of RSS Policy RT5.	The policy supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		and so is in general conformity with RSS.			
A15 – Safeguarding Potential Transport Routes	Supports the delivery of aim 3 of the UDP which is to develop a sustainable and integrated transport network.	Is in conformity with overall RSS approach to delivering sustainable transport networks.	Policy supports developments within areas of major change.	The Policy is in line with national and regional guidance and sets out at a local level routes that are to be safeguarded for transport. This helps to implement PPG13 at the local level e.g. paragraph 48, 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet.	The policy seeks to support the delivery of housing, economic development and to a lesser extent reduce the impact of climate change (bullet points 1, 3 and 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
<b>Chapter 12 – Environmental protections and improvement</b>					
EN1 – Development Affecting the Green Belt	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural assets, and secure sustainable resource management.	The green belt boundary as shown on the proposals map (and cross referred to in this policy) is in conformity with RSS Policy RDF4 which states that the general extent of the region's green belt will be maintained.	The policy seeks to ensure that the green belt is conserved.	There is repetition with PPG2 but it is considered vital that the policy is saved given that it cross refers to the proposals map which shows the extent of the green belt.	Is in conformity with bullet point 2 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12 in that the policy cross refers to the proposals map which sets out the detailed boundaries of the green belt in the city.  The policy is linked to the Telecommunications SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
EN2 – Worsley Greenway	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 which requires that the Region's environmental assets	Is a policy that seeks to conserve a strategically important green wedge.	Important part of the green infrastructure network in the city and builds on national and	

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	natural assets, and secure sustainable resource management.	should be identified, protected, enhanced and managed. Also in accordance with Policy EM3 which states that plans should aim to deliver environmental benefits by conserving existing green infrastructure.		regional guidance.  Consistent with paragraph 24 of PPS7 which states that the government recognises and accepts that there are areas of landscape outside nationally designated areas that are particularly highly valued locally.	
EN4 – Farm Diversification	Supports the delivery of aims 2 and 6, which are to maximise employment opportunities for local people and to protect environmental assets.	In conformity with RSS Policy DP8 which requires plans to promote a positive interaction between rural and urban areas where appropriate, and RDF2 which requires plans and strategies to maximise the economic potential of the region’s rural areas.	Policy ensures that change is appropriate in areas of conservation.	In accordance with the requirement of PPS7 (paragraph 30, i) for local development documents to set out criteria to be applied to planning applications for farm diversification.	The policy supports economic development (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12) and safeguards the rural economy.
EN5 – Irwell Valley	Supports the delivery of aim 6 of the UDP which is to protect and enhance natural environmental assets.	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 which requires that the Region’s environmental assets should be identified, protected, enhanced and managed. Also in accordance with Policy EM3 which states that plans should aim to	Is a policy that seeks to conserve a strategically important wedge of open land, that is of sub-regional importance.	Important part of the green infrastructure network in the city and builds on national and regional guidance.  Consistent with paragraph 24 of PPS7 which states that the government recognises	The policy supports the safeguarding of waters (bullet point 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		deliver environmental benefits by conserving existing green infrastructure.		and accepts that there are areas of landscape outside nationally designated areas that are particularly highly valued locally.	
EN7 – Nature Conservation Sites of International Importance	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural assets, and secure sustainable resource management	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 which requires that the Region’s environmental assets should be identified, protected, enhanced and managed. Also in accordance with Policy EM3 which states that plans should aim to deliver environmental benefits by conserving existing green infrastructure.	The policy seeks to ensure that important nature sites are conserved.	Although there are no current Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSI) in the city, there are proposals for one in the middle of Botany Bay woods. It is likely that this would not be of international importance. PPS9 paragraph 8 is clear that those SSIs which are not designated as being of international importance “should be given a high degree of protection under the planning system through appropriate policies in plans”. Given the advice in PPS9 it is therefore considered necessary to save the UDP policy.	Links to the Nature Conservation and Biodiversity SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
EN8 – Nature Conservation Sites of Local Importance	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural assets, and	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 which requires that the Region’s environmental assets should be identified,	The policy seeks to ensure that locally important nature sites are conserved.	The policy is in conformity with paragraph 9 of PPS9 which requires local authorities to have	Links to the Trees and Development and Nature Conservation and Biodiversity SPDs so therefore needs to be

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	secure sustainable resource management	protected, enhanced and managed. Also in accordance with Policy EM3 which states that plans should aim to deliver environmental benefits by conserving existing green infrastructure.		criteria based policies in local development documents against which any development on, or affecting, locally important nature conservation sites will be judged.	saved in order to ensure that the SPDs continue to form part of the LDF.
EN9 – Wildlife Corridors	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural assets, and secure sustainable resource management	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 which requires that the Region’s environmental assets should be identified, protected, enhanced and managed. Also in accordance with Policy EM3 which states that plans should aim to deliver environmental benefits by conserving existing green infrastructure.	The policy seeks to ensure that locally important nature sites are conserved.	The policy is in conformity with paragraph 12 of PPS9 which states that within urban areas local authorities should aim to protect networks of natural habitats and, where possible, strengthen and integrate them.	Links to the Trees and Development and Nature Conservation and Biodiversity SPDs, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
EN11 - Mosslands	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural assets, and secure sustainable resource management.	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 which requires that the Region’s environmental assets should be identified, protected, enhanced and managed. Also in accordance with Policy EM3 which states that plans should aim to	The policy seeks to ensure that a locally important nature site is conserved.	Is in conformity with paragraph 5 of PPS9 which states that local authorities should indicate the location of designated sites of importance for biodiversity, and identify any areas or sites for the restoration or creation of	Links to the Nature Conservation and Biodiversity SPD, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		<p>deliver environmental benefits by conserving existing green infrastructure.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS policy EM1 (B) which states that “Plans, strategies, proposals and schemes should secure a ‘step-change’ increase in the region’s biodiversity resources” and that this should be done through protecting, enhancing, expanding and linking areas for wildlife within and between the locations of highest biodiversity resources. Specifically this RSS policy also states that active arrangements will need to address areas such as mosses.</p>		new priority habitats through appropriate policies.	
EN12 – Important Landscape Features	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural assets, and secure sustainable resource management.	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 which requires that the Region’s environmental assets should be protected, enhanced and managed. Also in accordance with Policy EM3 which states	The policy ensures that important landscape features are conserved.	Is consistent with paragraph 14 of PPS9, and paragraph 36 of PPS1 which states that a key objectives for design and access policies is to incorporate green and other public space as	Links to the Trees and Development SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.  The policy supports the

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		that plans should aim to deliver environmental benefits by conserving existing green infrastructure.		part of developments (bullet point 2).	safeguarding of waters (bullet point 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12) as ponds and streams are types of landscapes features.
EN13 – Protected Trees	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural assets, and secure sustainable resource management.	Although there is nothing within RSS specifically about tree preservation orders, it is in conformity with RSS Policy EM1 which requires that the Region’s environmental assets should be identified, protected, enhanced and managed.	The policy ensures that where new development take place, trees of a high amenity value are conserved, or where the loss of such trees is acceptable adequate replacement provision is required.	Does not repeat national or regional guidance.	Links to the Trees and Development SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
EN17 – Pollution Control	Supports the delivery of aims 4, 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality, protect natural environmental assets and secure sustainable resource management.	Is in conformity with and expands upon Policy DP2 of RSS which states that building sustainable communities is a regional priority and that sustainable communities should be sensitive to the environment by taking account of the environmental implications of development.	The policy ensures that all new developments do not cause unacceptable pollution unless they include mitigation measures commensurate with the scale and impact of the development.	Is in conformity with PPS23 paragraph 13 which states that “LDDs should set out the criteria against which applications for potentially polluting developments will be considered”.	Links to the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
EN18 – Protection of water resources	Supports the delivery of aim 6 of the UDP which is to protect and enhance natural environmental assets.	Is in conformity with and expands upon Policy DP2 of RSS which states that building sustainable communities is a regional	Policy ensures that all new developments do not have an unacceptable impact on surface or ground water in terms of its quality, level or	Although to some extent there is overlap between the Policy and PPS23, Annex 1, there are particular issues within	The policy ensures water resources are safeguarded (bullet point 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		<p>priority and that sustainable communities should be sensitive to the environment by taking account of the environmental implications of development.</p> <p>Consistent with RSS Policy EM5 which states that local planning authorities and developers should protect the quantity and quality of surface and ground waters.</p>	flow.	the city in terms of groundwater protection zones and water quality (of for example the River Irwell). In addition although PPS23 has an emphasis on the quality of water, there is no specific guidance on surface or ground water in terms of its level or flow.	Links to the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
EN19 – Flood Risk and Surface Water	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets and secure sustainable resource management.	Consistent with RSS Policy EM5 which states that local planning authorities and developers should protect the quantity and quality of surface and ground waters and manage flood risk.	Policy ensures that new development will not be permitted in either areas of significant change or conservation, where there would be an unacceptable risk of flooding.	Expands on guidance in PPS25, and provides a local context for the issue of flooding.	<p>Links to the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.</p> <p>The city council have also adopted Planning Guidance on Flood Risk, and this guidance is linked to UDP Policy EN19. It is therefore considered vital that this policy is saved.</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
					The policy provides the context for UDP Policy EN20 (River Irwell Flood Control Scheme).
EN20 – River Irwell Flood Control	Supports the delivery of aims 6 and 7 of the UDP which are to protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets and secure sustainable resource management	Consistent with RSS Policy EM5 which states that local planning authorities and developers should protect the quantity and quality of surface and ground waters and manage flood risk. The justification to this policy further states that “The region’s current and future flood risks must also be managed in a sustainable way to avoid potential damage to property and even loss of life”.	The River Irwell Control Scheme is considered to be essential to the successful regeneration of Broughton, Charlestown, Lower Kersal and the surrounding area and the policy ensures that any development that would prevent the satisfactory completion of the flood control scheme to be resisted.	Is in accordance with paragraph 6 of PPS25 which states that “local planning authorities (LPAs) should prepare and implement planning strategies that help to deliver sustainable development by reducing risk through the “safeguarding of land from development that is required for current and future flood management e.g. conveyance and storage of flood water, and flood defences”. The UDP policy ensures that proposals for development that would prejudice the provision of flood storage basins or water channel improvements would not be permitted.	Policy aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery and supports economic development (bullet points 1 and 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
EN21 – Renewable Energy EN22 – Resource	Support the delivery of aim 7 of the UDP which is to secure sustainable resource	Reflect the general RSS approach to climate change (and Policy DP9 in particular).	Ensure areas of major change support objectives of minimising greenhouse emissions.	These UDP Policies are in accordance with PPS1 which states that planning authorities are	The policies promote renewable energy and a reduced impact on climate change (bullet

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
Conservation	management.	Are in conformity with RSS Policy EM17 (Renewable Energy) which requires plans and strategies to promote and encourage the use of renewable energy resources.		<p>obliged to “ensure that development plans contribute to global sustainability by addressing the causes and potential impacts of climate change through policies which reduce energy use , reduce emissions.... Promote the development of renewable energy resources and take climate change impacts into account in the location and design of development” (paragraph 13(ii)).</p> <p>Although there is overlap with PPS22 it is considered that it is appropriate to save the UDP Policies, given that Paragraph 1 of PPS22 states that at the local level planning authorities should set out criteria that will be applied in assessing applications for planning permission for renewable energy projects.</p>	<p>point 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>The Policies are linked to the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD therefore the policies need to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
EN23 – Environmental Improvement Corridors	Supports the delivery of aim 4 of the UDP which is to improve environmental quality and community safety.	In conformity with RSS policy DP7 which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced.	The policy ensures that all development along the city’s major road, rail and water corridors preserve, or make a positive contribution to the corridor’s environment and appearance.	Locally specific policy, with the reasoned justification (at paragraph 12.76) defining the key corridors subject to the policy.  In conformity with the overall emphasis on high quality development through good and inclusive design (paragraph 5, bullet point 4, PPS1).	The policy supports economic development and regeneration (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
<b>Chapter 13 – The city’s heritage</b>					
CH1 – Works to and Demolition of, Listed Buildings	Supports the delivery of aim 6 of the UDP (to protect and enhance historic assets)	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 (C) which states that Plans, strategies, proposals and schemes should protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment, supporting conservation-led regeneration.	Ensures there are effective policies for conservation in the city.	It is recognised that there is some repetition between Policy CH1 and advice in PPG15 (particularly paragraphs 3.5-3.19). However, the UDP policy succinctly summarises the guidance in PPG15, and needs to be retained due to its linkages to an SPD and Planning Guidance documents (and given that local policy documents cannot currently be linked to national guidance).	The policy is linked to the Telecommunications SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.  In addition it is linked to Salford Central Planning Guidance.

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
CH2 – Development Affecting the Setting of a Listed Building	Supports the delivery of aim 6 of the UDP (to protect and enhance historic assets)	In conformity with RSS Policy EM1 (C) which states that Plans, strategies, proposals and schemes should protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment, supporting conservation-led regeneration.	Ensures there are effective policies for conservation in the city.	It is recognised that there is some repetition between Policy CH2 and advice in PPG15 (particularly paragraph 2.16). However, the UDP policy is necessary due to its linkages to an SPD and Planning Guidance documents (and given that local policy documents cannot currently be linked to national guidance).	The policy is linked to the Telecommunications SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.  In addition it is linked to the Ordsall Riverside, Salford Central and Greengate Planning Guidance documents, and so therefore there is a need to retain the UDP in order to ensure that the Planning Guidance is linked to the Development Plan.
CH3 – Works within conservation areas  CH4 – Demolition of buildings in conservation areas	Supports the delivery of aims 4 and 6 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality and community safety, and protect and enhance historic environmental assets.	In conformity with RSS policy EM1 (C) which states that “Plans, strategies, proposals and schemes should protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment”.  In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that	Policy helps preserve, or enhance the character or appearance of areas of conservation.	It is recognised that there is some repetition between Policies CH3 and 4 and advice in PPG15. However, the UDP policy is necessary due to its linkages to an SPD (and given that local policy documents cannot currently be linked to national guidance), and Policy CH3 identifies the conservation areas in the	Policies are linked to the Planning Obligations, Telecommunications and Ellesmere Park SPDs, so therefore need to be saved in order to ensure that the SPDs continue to form part of the LDF.

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		an element of this is improving the built and natural environment, and conserving the region's heritage.		city.	
CH5 – Archaeology and Ancient Monuments	Supports the delivery of aims 4 and 6 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality, and protect and enhance historical environmental assets.	<p>In conformity with RSS policy EM1 (C) which states that “Plans, strategies, proposals and schemes should protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment”.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the built and natural environment, and conserving the region's heritage.</p>	Policy ensures that scheduled ancient monuments in the city and their settings are conserved.	<p>In conformity with paragraph 15 of PPG16 which states that development plans should “include policies for the protection, enhancement and preservation of sites of archaeological interest and of their settings. The proposals map should define the areas and sites to which the policies and proposals apply”.</p> <p>The reasoned justification to the policy and the proposals map set out the details of three scheduled ancient monuments in the city, and a fourth one which is proposed. The justification also states that a sites and monuments record is maintained, providing</p>	The policy is linked to the Telecommunications SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
				information on known archaeological remains.	
CH6 – Parks and Gardens of Historic Interest	Supports the delivery of aims 4 and 6 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality, and protect and enhance historical environmental assets.	<p>In conformity with RSS policy EM1 (C) which states that “Plans, strategies, proposals and schemes should protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment”.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the built and natural environment, and conserving the region’s heritage.</p>	Policy ensures that the two parks and gardens of historic interest are protected, improved and maintained.	<p>In general conformity with paragraph 2.24 of PPG15 which requires local authorities to protect registered parks and gardens in development plans. The advice in PPG15 is also clear that “The effect of proposed development on a registered park or garden or its setting is a material consideration in the determination of a planning application”.</p> <p>Although there is some repetition between PPG15 and UDP Policy CH6 it is considered necessary to save the UDP policy as it specifically refers to impact on the historic <u>character</u> of the park and gardens as being important, as well as stating the two parks and gardens of historic interest in the city.</p>	
CH7 – Manchester,	Supports the delivery	In conformity with RSS	The proposed line of the	Locally specific policy	In line with bullet point 5

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
Bolton and Bury Canal.	of aims 4 and 6 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality, and protect and enhance historical environmental assets.	<p>policy DP7 which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced. Additionally in accordance with RSS Policy RT6 which states that the region will optimise the use of its waterway assets for leisure.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the built and natural environment, and conserving the region's heritage.</p>	canal runs through areas of both conservation and major change.	that supports the restoration of the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal.	<p>of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12 the policy seeks to safeguard water resources. Additionally the policy supports economic development and regeneration (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>The Policy has cross boundary implications with the canal running into neighbouring authorities.</p>
CH8 – Local List of Buildings, Structures and Features of Architectural, Archaeological or Historic Interest	Supports the delivery of aims 4 and 6 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality and community safety, and protect and enhance historic environmental assets.	<p>In conformity with RSS policy EM1 (C) which states that “Plans, strategies, proposals and schemes should protect, conserve and enhance the historic environment”.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key</p>	Policy helps to conserve buildings of historic interest by making it clear that the impact of development on any building, or structure and feature of architectural, archaeological or historic interest will be a material consideration in the determination of planning applications.	In conformity with paragraph 6.16 of PPG15 which states that it is “...open to planning authorities to draw up lists of locally important buildings, and to formulate local plan policies for their protection”.	

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		regional priority and that an element of this is improving the built and natural environment, and conserving the region's heritage.			
<b>Chapter 14 - Recreation</b>					
R1 – Protection of Recreation Land and Facilities	Supports the delivery of aims 4-7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities; protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	<p>General conformity to RSS approach to recreation issues, particularly in terms of RSS policy EM3. Also in conformity with and expands on RSS policy DP7 which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the natural environment, and promoting physical exercise through opportunities for sport and formal / informal</p>	Supports areas of change and ensures that existing recreation assets are conserved.	Entirely consistent with the principles of paragraphs 10-19 of PPG17, which seek to ensure that there is an adequate supply of open space and sports and recreational facilities.	<p>The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>Links to the Greenspace Strategy and Ellesmere Park SPDs, so therefore the policy needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		recreation, walking and cycling.			
R2 – Provision of Recreation Land and Facilities	Supports the delivery of aims 4-7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities; enhance natural environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	<p>General conformity to RSS approach to recreation issues, particularly in terms of RSS policy EM3. Also in conformity with and expands on RSS policy DP7 which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the natural environment, and promoting physical exercise through opportunities for sport and formal / informal recreation, walking and cycling.</p>	Ensures that recreation provision is adequate where there is change.	Supports PPG17 and has a local perspective in terms of identifying the standards the city council will seek to ensure are met in considering proposals for new or improved recreational land or facilities (in accordance with paragraphs 6-9 of PPG17).	<p>Policy aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>Links to the Greenspace Strategy and Planning Obligations SPD and therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.</p>
R3 – Regional Park	Supports the delivery of aims 4-7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality;	RSS policy EM4 identifies the Croal Irwell Valley and the Wigan / Salford Greenheart as being	Ensures that there will be adequate recreation facilities for the city’s residents (both in areas of significant	Supports PPG17 and has a local perspective in terms of specifically identifying Regional	Policy aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities; enhance natural environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	<p>existing / potential regional parks. Policy R3 of the UDP supports the RSS policy and is locally specific, with the justification to the UDP policy setting out what the purpose of the Regional Park would be.</p> <p>The development of Regional Parks will be a key component in the implementation of the concept of Green Infrastructure (see RSS Policy EM3).</p> <p>In conformity with and expands on RSS policy DP7 which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the natural environment, and</p>	change or conservation).	Parks within the city.	<p>PPS12).</p> <p>The Regional Parks have the support of the NWDA, so it is therefore important that this is facilitated by being identified within the Development Plan.</p>

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
		promoting physical exercise through opportunities for sport and formal / informal recreation, walking and cycling.			
R4 – Key Recreation Areas  R5 – Countryside Access Network	Support the delivery of aims 4-7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities; enhance natural environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	The development of Key Recreation Areas and the protection of the Countryside Access Network are a key component in the implementation of the concept of Green Infrastructure (see RSS Policy EM3).  In conformity with and expands on RSS policy DP7 which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced.  In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the natural environment.	Ensure that there will be adequate recreation facilities for the city's residents, and that the Countryside Access Network is protected (both in areas of significant change or conservation)..	Support PPG17 but have a locally specific emphasis; for example the reasoned justification to Policy R4 sets out the eight key recreation areas in the city, and the Countryside Access Network is shown on the proposals map	Policies aids the delivery of infrastructure to support housing delivery (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Greenspace Strategy SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
R6 – New and	Supports the delivery	General conformity to	Ensures that there will be	In conformity with	Policy aids the delivery of

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
Improved Recreation Land and Facilities	of aims 4-7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities; enhance natural environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	<p>RSS approach to recreation issues, particularly in terms of RSS policy EM3. Also in conformity with and expands on RSS policy DP7 which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the natural environment, and promoting physical exercise through opportunities for sport and formal / informal recreation, walking and cycling.</p>	adequate recreation facilities for the city’s residents across the city (both in areas of significant change or conservation).	<p>paragraph 18 of PPG17 which states that where recreation land and facilities are of poor quality or under-used, this should not be taken as necessarily indicating an absence of need in that areas. Rather “Local authorities should seek opportunities to improve the value of existing facilities”. The UDP is also in accordance with the general principles of planning for new open space and sports and recreational facilities, in paragraph 20 of PPG17.</p> <p>The UDP policy is locally specific and identifies those sites where the council considers new and improved recreation land and facilities will contribute towards ensuring adequate provision of both formal and informal recreation.</p>	<p>infrastructure to support housing delivery (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>Links to the Greenspace Strategy SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.</p>
R7 – Recreational Use of Waterways	Supports the delivery of aims 4-7 of the UDP (to improve	In accordance with RSS Policy RT6 which states that the region will	The city’s waterways run through areas of change and conservation, and the UDP	Is a locally specific policy that is in conformity and expands upon the advice	The policy seeks to ensure that water resources are

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	environmental quality; provide a comprehensive range of accessible local facilities; enhance natural environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	<p>optimise the use of its waterway assets for leisure. Additionally in conformity with RSS policy DP7 which states that environmental quality should be protected and enhanced.</p> <p>In conformity with RSS Policy DP2 which states that building sustainable communities is a key regional priority and that an element of this is improving the natural environment.</p>	policy ensures that the recreation and leisure potential of the city's waterways will be developed during the plan period.	in paragraph 31 of PPG17.	<p>safeguarded (bullet point 5 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).</p> <p>The recreational use of waterways is an important local issue, with the waterways being a key aspect of the character of the city.</p>
<b>Chapter 15 - Development</b>					
DEV1 - Telecommunications	Supports the delivery of aim 4 of the UDP which is to improve environmental quality and community safety.	There is no telecommunications policy within RSS, but it supports the overall approach to delivering economic growth and quality of life	The policy applies to all areas of the city.	<p>National advice on Telecommunications is provided in PPG8; there is a degree of duplication between the UDP and PPG8, but the UDP policy provides a local interpretation of national guidance.</p> <p>There is some uncertainty as to the future of national planning guidance on</p>	The policy is related to the infrastructure necessary to support housing (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12). The policy is linked to the Telecommunications SPD, and so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
				telecommunications. Draft PPS4 seeks to delete separate telecommunications guidance, and therefore retaining clear local guidance is important.	
DEV2 - Advertisements	Supports the delivery of aims 4 and 6 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality and community safety, and protect and enhance natural and historical environmental assets.	There is no advertisements policy within RSS although there are various policies with regards to protecting environmental quality (e.g. DP7)	The policy applies to all areas of the city.	Expands on national guidance in PPG19 in terms of setting out criteria to judge the impact of advertisements on amenity and safety.	
DEV3 – Control of Hazardous Substances  DEV4 – Development Close to Hazardous Substances	Support the delivery of aims 4 and 6 of the UDP which are to improve environmental quality and community safety, and protect natural and historical environmental assets.	No specific policy in RSS with regards to hazardous uses.	The policies apply to all areas of the city.	There is some repetition with national guidance in Circular 4/2000, although the UDP policy provides additional locally specific advice. Given there are hazardous installations in the city (and in close proximity to it, such as in Trafford Park) the UDP policy is considered to be both necessary and important.	Support the delivery of housing and economic development in appropriate locations (bullet points 1 and 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).
DEV5 – Planning Conditions and Obligations	Supports the delivery of aims 1 to 7 (to meet the city’s housing needs; maximise	Is in conformity with RSS policy L5 which states that local planning authorities should consider securing	The policy applies to all areas of the city, although it is considered to be of most relevance in those areas of	In conformity with Circular 05/2005 paragraphs B25 and B26 which state that in DPDs	Policy supports the delivery of affordable housing, and other infrastructure necessary

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
	employment opportunities for local people; minimise the need to travel; improve environmental quality; provide a comprehensive range of local facilities; protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management)	affordable housing through the use of conditions and obligations.	major change where it is necessary to ensure that there are sufficient facilities, schemes and infrastructure to support the scale of development proposed.	(or “saved policies”) LPAs should have a general policy about the principles and use of planning obligations, and that in SPDs they should set out more details.	to support housing (bullet point 1 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Planning Obligations SPD so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
DEV6 – Incremental Development	Supports the delivery of aims 1 to 7 (to meet the city’s housing needs; maximise employment opportunities for local people; minimise the need to travel; improve environmental quality; provide a comprehensive range of local facilities; protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management)	No specific policy in RSS with regards to incremental development, but consistent with the overall approach to sustainable communities in RSS Policy DP2.	The policy applies to all areas of the city, but it is specifically about ensuring that major sites / areas are developed in a co-ordinated manner to ensure the proper planning of areas of significant change.	This policy is considered to be vitally necessary as it is not covered sufficiently by national policy. It is a key policy that is essential to ensuring regeneration is successful and that the development of individual sites takes place in a co-ordinated way to ensure the best outcomes for new developments and regeneration in the city.	Policy supports economic development and regeneration (bullet point 3 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Ordsall Riverside Planning Guidance, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the Planning Guidance is linked to the Development Plan.
DEV7 – Protection	Supports the delivery	No specific policy in RSS	Elements of the policy cover	In conformity with	Links to the Sustainable

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
of Aviation Safety at Manchester Airport	of aim 4 of the UDP which is to improve community safety.	with regards to the protection of aviation safety at Manchester Airport.	the whole of the city, incorporating areas of change and conservation.	Circular 1/2003 which requires consultation with Manchester Airport when certain planning applications are received.	Design and Construction SPD, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
<b>Chapter 16 - Waste</b>					
W1 – Waste Management	Supports the delivery of aims 4, 6 and 7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; to protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	Reflects the approach to waste management in RSS policies EM11 and EM12.	Ensures waste products associated with areas of major change are managed in a sustainable manner.	To some extent repeats national guidance in PPS10, but it is considered necessary to save the policy given that it contains detailed criteria the city council will use when it receives an application for a waste use. Without this policy the council would only have a Strategic Policy on waste (Policy ST16)	Policy is concerned with waste management (bullet point 4 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12).  Links to the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD, so therefore needs to be saved in order to ensure that the SPD continues to form part of the LDF.
<b>Chapter 17 - Minerals</b>					
M1 – Protection of Mineral Resources	Supports the delivery of aims 4, 6 and 7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; to protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	In accordance with RSS Policy EM7 which states that plans and strategies should safeguard mineral resources from other forms of development.	Policy ensures that known mineral resources will be protected from sterilisation by other form of development. This applies both to areas of major change and conservation.	MPS1 paragraph 13 states that planning authorities should define mineral safeguarding areas (MSAs) in LDDs in order that proven resources are not needlessly sterilised by non-mineral development. Given that	

	Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)				
UDP Policy	Criterion 1 – Central Strategy	Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity	Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation	Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy	PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations
				the UDP does not define MSAs it is considered that the UDP policy is necessary in order to ensure that known minerals that could be worked in the future are protected from sterilisation by other forms of development.	
M2 – Mineral Development	Supports the delivery of aims 4, 6 and 7 of the UDP (to improve environmental quality; to protect and enhance natural and historic environmental assets; and to secure sustainable resource management).	In accordance with RSS Policy EM7 which states that plans and strategies should include criteria-based policies to indicate the circumstances under which extraction might or might not be permitted.	The policy applies to all areas of the city.	<p>Although there is some repetition with MPSs and MPGs, the UDP is in general conformity with national advice on minerals. The UDP policy further provides the local framework for assessing planning applications for development involving mineral extraction, mineral exploration, the disposal of mineral waste, or the provision of aggregate depots.</p> <p>The reasoned justification to the policy is also locally specific and refers to the requirement for very high standards of site restoration, especially</p>	Policy is partially concerned with the management of minerals waste (bullet point 4 of para. 9.2 (ii) of PPS12), and also supports the safeguarding of water resources (bullet point 5).

	<b>Tests for saved policies - PPS12 Paragraph 9.2 (i)</b>				
<b>UDP Policy</b>	<b>Criterion 1 – Central Strategy</b>	<b>Criterion 3 – RSS Conformity</b>	<b>Criterion 5 – Significant change or conservation</b>	<b>Criterion 6 – Repeats national or regional policy</b>	<b>PPS12 Para 9.2 (ii) / Additional observations</b>
				within the Mossland Heartland and the rest of the Mosslands.	

## SCHEDULE B – POLICIES NOT TO BE SAVED

The schedule below lists those policies which it is recommended are not saved after 21<sup>st</sup> June 2009 and gives reasons for why they should not be saved:

Policy	Reason for deletion
<b>Chapter 4 – Strategic Policies</b>	
ST2 – Housing Supply	Is not in conformity with the RSS housing requirement for Salford (as contained in Policy L4) of 1,600 dwellings per annum.
ST6 – Major trip generating development	Effectively repeats national guidance in PPG13 and policies within RSS.
ST8 – Environmental quality	Subject matter of the policy is adequately covered by other policies of the UDP that are proposed for saving.
ST11 – Location of new development	Is not fully in conformity with RSS Policy DP4 (Make the Best Use of Existing Resources and Infrastructure), which covers the issue adequately.
<b>Chapter 5 – Mixed-use development</b>	
MX4 Site for mixed-use development (Former Lowry High School site, Broughton)	The whole of the site has outline planning approval for a mix of uses. Most of the site now has reserved matters approval with a large number of dwellings either being under construction or complete. The outline planning permission ensured that an appropriate mix of uses will be provided on the site, and that detailed issues such as housing mix, flood risk, the provision of local facilities etc were fully considered. The approved plans for the site followed detailed consultation with the local community, and will deliver a major increase in housing numbers and support the regeneration of the wider area. Given all of this, retaining the allocation could lead to confusion and uncertainty rather than facilitating new housing development in the area as it could suggest there is some doubt over the implementation of the approved proposals.
<b>Chapter 6 - Design</b>	
DES11 – Design Statements	The policy has been superseded by the statutory requirement for Design and Access Statements to be submitted alongside planning applications.
<b>Chapter 7 - Housing</b>	
H2 – Managing the supply of housing	Policy is considered unnecessary in light of the significant increase in housing requirements when compared to the previous RSS.
H9/2 – Dudley Street, Broughton	The site has been fully developed for housing.
H9/4 – Cumberland Street / Wheater's Terrace	The site now has outline planning permission for open space and a school, forming part of a larger outline permission for 1,500 dwellings. The open space and school are essential to facilitating the delivery of that large amount of housing, with the former required to mitigate flood risk as well as provide local recreation facilities. Within this context and that of the wider regeneration of the Lower Broughton area, housing development is no longer considered appropriate. Saving the existing housing allocation would only serve to confuse developers and the local community, and its implementation would be likely to reduce

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Reason for deletion</b>
	effective housing delivery within the area rather than support it. Therefore, it is considered important that it is not saved beyond June 2009.
H9/7 – Ordsall Drive, Ordsall	The site has been fully developed for housing.
H9/9 – Former Weaste Tram depot, Eccles New Road, Weaste and Seedley	The site has been fully developed for housing.
<b>Chapter 8 - Employment</b>	
E4/1 – Woodrow Way, Cadishead	All of the site has been developed for employment.
E4/5 – Fairhills Road / Soapstone Way, Cadishead	All of the site has been developed for employment.
E4/6 – Gilchrist Road, Northbank, Cadishead	All of the site has been developed for employment.
E4/12 – Land south of Centenary Way, Weaste and Seedley	All of the site has been developed for employment.
E4/15 – Land south of Pacific Way, Weaste and Seedley	All of the site has planning permission for employment use, and is currently under construction.
E6 – Tourism Development	Repeats guidance elsewhere in the UDP, PPG13 and RSS policy W7.
<b>Chapter 9 - Retail and leisure development</b>	
S2 – Retail and leisure development outside town centres and neighbourhoods	Repeats national guidance in PPS6.
<b>Chapter 10 – Education, health and community facilities</b>	
EHC2 – Redevelopment of redundant schools and colleges	The content of the policy is covered by UDP Policy R1. The policy also refers to Policy ST11 which has been deleted as it would not be in conformity with Policy DP4 of RSS.
EHC8/1 – Corporation Road / Barton Lane, Barton	The site is currently under construction for health facilities.
EHC8/2 – Loganberry Avenue / Hankinson Way, Langworthy	A LIFT Centre has recently been completed on an alternative site which will serve the Pendleton area. The site is therefore not needed for new health facilities anymore.
EHC8/3 – Chorley Road / Station Road, Swinton	The allocation is considered unnecessary given that the site is within the town centre of Swinton, and that health facilities are considered in national guidance to be an appropriate town centre use.
EHC8/4 – Smith Street / Bolton Road, Walkden North	The site is has been fully developed for health facilities.
<b>Chapter 11 - Accessibility</b>	
A1 – Transport assessments and travel plans	The policy effectively repeats guidance in PPG13, particularly paragraph 89 and Annex D.
A7 – Park and Ride	Repeats national guidance in PPG13, particularly Annex E.
A12 – Provision of coach and lorry parks	The policy criteria are adequately covered by other policies of the UDP that are proposed for saving, and RSS.

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Reason for deletion</b>
A13 – Freight transport	Repeats national guidance in PPG13, particularly paragraphs 45-47, and RSS Policy RT7.
<b>Chapter 12 – Environmental Protection and improvement</b>	
EN3 – Agricultural land	Repeats national guidance in PPS7, and in particular paragraph 28.
EN6 – Nature Conservation Sites of International Importance	There are no nature conservation sites of international importance in the city. Notwithstanding this paragraph 6 of PPS9 advises that since sites of international importance have statutory protection, policies in respect of these sites should not be included in local development documents.
EN10 – Protection of species	PPS9 paragraph 15 is clear that many individual wildlife species receive statutory protection under a range of legislative provisions, and specific policies in respect of these species should not be included in local development documents (see also Part IV of ODPM / Defra Circular, ODPM 06/2005, Defra 01/2005). Given this it is considered inappropriate to save the policy.
Policy EN14 – Derelict, Underused and Neglected Land	Repeats national guidance in Planning Policy Statement 23: Planning and Pollution Control
Policy EN15 – Unstable land	Repeats national guidance in PPG14 (Development on Unstable Land)
Policy EN16 – Contaminated Land	Repeats national guidance in Planning Policy Statement 23: Planning and Pollution Control – Annex 2: Development on land affected by contamination